

A 256 VARIABLE NONLINEAR TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM

William Conley

Austin E. Cofrin Business School
University of Wisconsin Green Bay 54301 U.S.A.

conleyw@uwgb.edu

ABSTRACT

The classic mathematical transportation problem which is presented in most operations research or industrial engineering courses involves shipping from a number of warehouses to a number of customers, where the shipping costs are linear. The idea being if it costs one euro dollar to ship one unit, from location A to location B, it will cost 100 euro dollars to ship 100 units from warehouse A to customer B. These linear assumptions (for all the shipping costs) are usually made so that various linear programming techniques (simplex and other linear approaches) can be used to efficiently solve the overall optimization problem. However, in the practical business world of large scale shipping there are usually considerable returns to scale (nonlinear costs) associated with the deliveries to customers. Therefore, an example of this kind is presented here and solved using simulation techniques.

Keywords; nonlinear transportation problem, Monte Carlo

1. INTRODUCTION

Specifically the example presented involves shipping a product from 16 warehouses to 16 preferred customers where each of the 16X16=256 shipping routes has a different nonlinear cost structure, which reduces the unit shipping costs from warehouse I to customer J as the number of units shipped increases.

The example here has between 525,000 and 600,000 units (in various amounts) of the product stored in the 16 locations and the customer demands are for between 525,000 and 600,000 units (in different amounts) at each destination. Also, the total supply equals the total demand in this case (which is usually true in the long run). However, the supply rarely equals short term demand in the real world and the MSMCO simulation approach can be modified to deal with some less than or greater than constraints rather than equations, if supplies and demands are not balanced.

2. THE SAMPLE CASE STUDY

We define 256XIJ variables, where XIJ is the amount of product leaving a warehouse I and heading to customer J. We also have 16 equations for the XIJ values leaving each warehouse, plus 16 equations for the XIJ values arriving at the 16 preferred customers in the correct amounts they ordered. Additionally, we have a nonlinear cost equation. Although it may cost one euro

dollar to ship one unit of product, it may cost a lot less than 100 euro dollars to ship 100 units. Therefore, the 256 variable nonlinear transportation problem (with discounts for bulk shipping) is solved with the multi stage Monte Carlo optimization (Conley, 2003) simulation technique. The shipping company's goal is to reduce the overall shipping costs that were running in the 1.5 to 2 million euro dollars range down to about one million euro dollars. Therefore, the right hand side of the cost equation is set at one million euro dollars. The other 32 equations are in units of product shipped. We use multi stage Monte Carlo optimization (MSMCO) to try to minimize the sum of the absolute values of the differences between the left and right hand side of the 33 equations. This will approximately solve the system. The multi stage Monte Carlo system makes repeated solution attempts in an ever moving and decreasing in size feasible solution region following a trail of better and better answers (lower total error) through 257 dimensional spaces. The cost equation is $C = \sum \sum 7.+.05*(i+j)*(x(i+j))^{*(.6+.01*(i+j))} = 1000000$ where $i=1,2, \dots,16$ and * is multiply and** is raise to a power.

Specifically, for our 33 equation 256 variable system (transformed into a 257 dimensional optimization problem) 40,000 random sample answers are looked at in stage one and the best one (stored and printed) had a total error in all 33 equations of 119,996,528. Then stage two looked at another 40,000 sample answers in a reduced region initially centered at the best answer from stage one. This produced a new best answer of 114,673,528. Then stage three similarly does another 40,000 sample solutions reducing the error further.

Even though these initial "discovery" stages have very high total errors, by stage 50 the total error is down to 34. The 256XIJ values produced in stage 50 solved all 32 of the warehouse and customer equations and had a 34 euro dollar error in the cost control equation where the goal was a cost of one million euros.

This simulation took about 3 minutes to run the 50 x 40,000 = 2,000,000 function evaluations on an inexpensive desk top PC. The complete printout of the total errors of the 50 stage MSMCO (multi stage) simulation is in Table 1. Tables 2 and 3 present the other relevant parts of the answer.

3. THE ANSWER PRINTOUTS

Table 1 (as mentioned) presents the 50 stage printout of the total errors. They are very high in the early discovery stages of the MSMCO simulation run. However, once they start to track a good answer the error terms start dropping dramatically to a 33+ Euro dollar cost error and a virtual zero, error (.5 unit total) on all of the 32 leaving and arriving equations. The tables follow here.

Table 1: The Stage Errors

| Stage Number | Total Errors |
|--------------|----------------|
| 1 | 119996528.0000 |
| 2 | 114673528.0000 |
| 3 | 101945352.0000 |
| 4 | 93896400.0000 |
| 5 | 84041472.0000 |
| 6 | 67086016.0000 |
| 7 | 51818592.0000 |
| 8 | 30441744.0000 |
| 9 | 18462332.0000 |
| 10 | 10419225.0000 |
| 11 | 4611007.0000 |
| 12 | 1350197.2500 |
| 13 | 829315.1875 |
| 14 | 470044.2813 |
| 15 | 235782.6875 |
| 16 | 158225.0000 |
| 17 | 85789.7500 |
| 18 | 69592.3125 |
| 19 | 44407.7500 |
| 20 | 36262.8125 |
| 21 | 20031.7500 |
| 22 | 14905.0625 |
| 23 | 10706.0625 |
| 24 | 7548.3125 |
| 25 | 4448.8750 |
| 26 | 3890.5625 |
| 27 | 2486.1250 |
| 28 | 1994.3125 |
| 29 | 1264.9375 |
| 30 | 909.5000 |
| 31 | 714.6875 |
| 32 | 516.1250 |
| 33 | 409.8125 |
| 34 | 300.6250 |
| 35 | 229.2500 |
| 36 | 150.5000 |
| 37 | 120.0000 |
| 38 | 99.5000 |
| 39 | 79.3125 |
| 40 | 64.7500 |
| 41 | 58.4375 |
| 42 | 47.9375 |
| 43 | 44.8125 |
| 44 | 40.5000 |
| 45 | 39.1250 |
| 46 | 37.8125 |

| | |
|----|---------|
| 47 | 36.6250 |
| 48 | 36.2500 |
| 49 | 35.1250 |
| 50 | 33.0625 |

Table 2 presents the number of units shipped from each warehouse to each customer. The 16 numbers in the 1 grouping represent the unit shipping amounts leaving warehouse 1 and bound for customers 1 through 16 (reading left to right top to bottom in the grouping labeled one). They add up to 600,000 units. The 16 numbers in the 2 groupings represent the unit shipping amounts leaving warehouse 2 and bound for customer 1 through 16 (reading left to right top to bottom in the grouping labeled two). They add up to 595,000 units (and so on for the other 14 warehouses).

Taking the upper left hand entry in each of the 16 groupings and adding them up gives you the 525,000 total units bound for customer 1 that come from warehouses 1 through 16 (and so on).

Table 2: The Shipping Amounts

| | Column 1 | Column 2 | Column 3 | Column 4 |
|----|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 1 | 44592.266 | 28204.779 | 24779.855 | 38818.793 |
| 1 | 44660.852 | 42474.914 | 36898.750 | 8789.456 |
| 1 | 24376.973 | 32607.883 | 44095.602 | 35170.844 |
| 1 | 47782.434 | 107502.773 | 32258.361 | 6985.296 |
| 2 | 860.316 | 4483.140 | 52095.824 | 4920.257 |
| 2 | 55721.340 | 41307.066 | 19379.221 | 13734.656 |
| 2 | 15755.903 | 10778.478 | 37142.035 | 84397.047 |
| 2 | 134475.094 | 33806.871 | 67846.141 | 18296.627 |
| 3 | 14016.597 | 82811.031 | 33526.914 | 3709.981 |
| 3 | 2007.490 | 14209.472 | 475.354 | 57077.633 |
| 3 | 60822.930 | 65550.570 | 14076.178 | 48264.734 |
| 3 | 49168.039 | 10770.127 | 104711.500 | 28801.520 |
| 4 | 55947.031 | 50030.691 | 134804.719 | 45923.613 |
| 4 | 12304.259 | 16243.791 | 28020.822 | 2435.157 |
| 4 | 10291.113 | 78165.891 | 36343.551 | 1473.928 |
| 4 | 28673.438 | 3491.757 | 38109.355 | 42740.895 |
| 5 | 2080.985 | 12592.176 | 105.309 | 166457.266 |
| 5 | 17227.412 | 40241.980 | 29042.160 | 14684.987 |
| 5 | 25134.654 | 10384.798 | 57884.641 | 64610.441 |
| 5 | 31199.455 | 32094.121 | 23535.330 | 52724.262 |
| 6 | 1486.628 | 27140.459 | 36218.969 | 15398.490 |
| 6 | 2398.268 | 38748.012 | 45646.762 | 17540.447 |
| 6 | 14546.153 | 52363.535 | 38594.148 | 28070.598 |
| 6 | 3121.571 | 86585.625 | 33644.195 | 133496.156 |
| 7 | 266746.000 | 17605.098 | 9369.590 | 15545.590 |
| 7 | 11775.452 | 47188.070 | 30555.365 | 18927.512 |
| 7 | 8619.394 | 15634.977 | 921.640 | 55111.660 |
| 7 | 888.766 | 4657.088 | 61295.070 | 5158.753 |
| 8 | 16740.145 | 4613.849 | 15247.325 | 986.281 |
| 8 | 30984.273 | 22648.006 | 105562.391 | 5193.723 |
| 8 | 11082.579 | 112332.195 | 72157.844 | 72018.586 |
| 8 | 5704.437 | 28591.939 | 30635.119 | 30501.340 |
| 9 | 23591.436 | 2920.417 | 83545.125 | 23442.521 |
| 9 | 71350.711 | 7149.650 | 6071.913 | 28681.975 |
| 9 | 105054.766 | 29472.561 | 1399.122 | 25688.439 |
| 9 | 98983.820 | 1544.764 | 22257.094 | 28845.840 |
| 10 | 2816.591 | 9420.608 | 17896.875 | 38675.965 |
| 10 | 80396.500 | 30036.746 | 88567.492 | 3042.466 |
| 10 | 31810.510 | 4844.226 | 153020.750 | 13448.157 |

| | | | | |
|----|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| 10 | 5353.755 | 70741.266 | 94.583 | 4833.566 |
| 11 | 17829.125 | 81727.445 | 16869.154 | 6623.472 |
| 11 | 13223.104 | 13930.215 | 13169.904 | 8801.730 |
| 11 | 117752.563 | 34185.121 | 33070.383 | 12759.497 |
| 11 | 22923.820 | 58233.043 | 32604.734 | 66296.680 |
| 12 | 4566.582 | 19541.547 | 2702.872 | 7670.750 |
| 12 | 39572.512 | 48614.906 | 13704.979 | 162585.531 |
| 12 | 54835.453 | 4228.451 | 10454.256 | 3485.226 |
| 12 | 89607.859 | 46765.195 | 14803.914 | 21860.117 |
| 13 | 7547.889 | 15834.984 | 4474.897 | 14508.642 |
| 13 | 64135.563 | 151853.094 | 12974.294 | 74015.195 |
| 13 | 16960.918 | 307.568 | 23610.848 | 18164.500 |
| 13 | 18629.258 | 8608.676 | 45110.926 | 63262.758 |
| 14 | 10455.435 | 103111.766 | 1596.627 | 113677.133 |
| 14 | 27811.521 | 6396.921 | 6037.331 | 26470.602 |
| 14 | 5542.942 | 57488.332 | 30804.586 | 65985.578 |
| 14 | 1965.880 | 18959.227 | 24096.121 | 34599.902 |
| 15 | 29200.139 | 57121.152 | 59628.168 | 27809.139 |
| 15 | 10280.215 | 28387.352 | 96994.922 | 34976.105 |
| 15 | 47973.891 | 420.451 | 12072.192 | 37972.953 |
| 15 | 11927.979 | 30296.170 | 19933.180 | 25006.080 |
| 16 | 26522.867 | 12840.830 | 42137.781 | 15832.064 |
| 16 | 61150.555 | 569.841 | 21898.381 | 83042.867 |
| 16 | 14439.232 | 61235.004 | 9352.340 | 13377.782 |
| 16 | 34594.410 | 47351.375 | 44064.352 | 36590.328 |

Table 3 gives the individual equation errors for the 32 leaving and arriving units followed by the cost equation error 33+ Euros in the left column. The right hand column gives the right hand side constants on all 33 equations.

Table 3: Error on Left Constants on Right

| Equation Errors | Right Hand Side |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0.00000 | 525000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 530000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 535000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 540000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 545000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 550000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 555000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 560000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 565000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 570000.00000 |
| 0.06250 | 575000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 580000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 585000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 590000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 595000.00000 |
| 0.06250 | 600000.00000 |
| 0.12500 | 600000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 595000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 590000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 585000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 580000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 575000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 570000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 650000.00000 |
| 0.06250 | 560000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 555000.00000 |

| | |
|----------|---------------|
| 0.00000 | 550000.00000 |
| 0.06250 | 545000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 540000.00000 |
| 0.06250 | 535000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 530000.00000 |
| 0.00000 | 525000.00000 |
| 33.06250 | 1000000.00000 |

4. LINEAR VERSUS NONLINEAR SHIPPING COSTS

Linear programming was developed in the early to mid 20th century as a result of the well-developed theory of linear algebra for solving systems of equations. It involves solving linear equations and/or inequalities while heading to the goal of optimizing an objective function subject to linear constraints (equations and inequalities). The key result that made this type of multivariate linear optimization possible is the fundamental theorem of linear programming which states that the optimal solution is at a “corner point” in the feasible solution space. This solution technique is great for small or large scale (number of variables) shipping problems that are truly linear in nature or can at least be reasonably approximated with a linear system.

The difficulty of course is that many shipping problems are multivariate and nonlinear with constraints and these are more difficult to solve. A fundamental theorem of nonlinear programming (if it existed) would say that the optimal solution could be anywhere in the feasible solution space (at a corner point or in the interior of the feasible solution spaces).

That is why simulation based multivariate nonlinear optimization techniques such as multi stage Monte Carlo optimization (MSMCO) can be useful when other techniques do not work or are not available.

5. MULTI STAGE MONTE CARLO OPTIMIZATION

The multi stage (MSMCO) simulation technique randomly looks around the entire feasible solution space in stage one and samples several thousand feasible solutions and stores and prints the best answer so far. That is the traditional Monte Carlo (or random) optimization technique. However, with MSMCO that is just stage one. Then centered about this best answer so far, stage two looks at thousands more feasible solutions in a slightly reduced search region and stores and prints its “best answer” so far. Then stage three in a slightly more reduced region centered about the stage two best answers repeats this process. Our particular example here did a 50 stage MSMCO simulation. It started with huge error terms in the early stages. However, by stage 50 it had produced a useful answer to a 33 by 256 nonlinear system.

This type of program can be run quickly on the modern inexpensive desk top computer available in our 21st century. Some additional applications of multi stage Monte Carlo optimization (MSMCO) to various shipping problems are in (Conley 2003). More general

applications of the MSMCO technique are in (Wong 1996) and (Conley 2008). It is a fairly versatile approach to general nonlinear optimization problems. The problems are more difficult to solve as the number of variables increases. However, computer speeds help with that difficulty.

REFERENCES

- Conley, W. C., 2003. "The TSP Statistics and total quality management and shipping." Proceedings of the International Workshop on Harbour, Maritime, and Multimodal Logistics Modeling and Simulation (Sept, 2003, Riga Latvia) Riga Technical University, 53-57.
- Conley, W. C., 2008. "Ecological optimization of pollution control equipment from a planning and simulation perspective." *International Journal of Systems Science*, Vol. 39, No. 1, 1-7.
- Wong, J. Y., 1996. "A Note on optimization in integers." *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, Vol. 27, No. 6, 865-874.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

William Conley received a B.A. in mathematics (with honors) from Albion College in 1970, an M.A. in mathematics from Western Michigan University in 1971, a M.Sc. in statistics in 1973 and a Ph.D. in mathematics-computer statistics from the University of Windsor in 1976. He has taught mathematics, statistics, and computer programming in universities for over 30 years. He is currently a professor emeritus in Business Administration and Statistics at the University of Wisconsin at Green bay. The developer of multi stage Monte Carlo optimization and the CTSP multivariate correlation statistics, he is the author of five books and 203 publications world wide. He is a member of the American Chemical Society, a fellow in the Institution of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineers and a senior member of the Society for Computer Simulation.